1	David Fink ( <i>pro hac vice</i> ) litigationlaw@comcast.net	
2	FINK & JOHNSON	
3	7519 Apache Plume Houston, TX 77071	
4	Telephone: (713) 729-4991 Facsimile: (713) 729-4951	
5	Duncan M. McNeill (Cal. Bar No. 136416) dmcneill@netzero.net	
6	1514 Van Dyke Avenue San Francisco, CA 94124	
7	Telephone: (415) 752-5063	
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant FuzzySharp Technologies Incorporated	
9	Jonathan D. Baker (CSB No.: 196062)	
10	jonathan.baker@dechert.com DECHERT LLP	
11	2440 W. El Camino Real, Suite 700	
12	Mountain View, California 94040 Telephone: (650) 813-4800	
13	Facsimile: (650) 813-4848	
14	Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant 3DLabs Inc., Ltd.	
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
16	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
17	OAKLAND DIVISION	
18	FUZZYSHARP TECHNOLOGIES INCORPORATED,	Case No. C07-CV-5948-SBA-JL
19	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING
20	V.	INADVERTENTLY PRODUCED
21	3DLABS INC., LTD.,	PRIVILEGED MATERIALS OR INFORMATION
22	Defendant.	Honorable Magistrate Judge James Larson
23	Detendant.	
24	3DLABS INC., LTD.,	
25	Counterclaimant,	
	V.	
26	FUZZYSHARP TECHNOLOGIES INCORPORATED,	
27	Counter-Defendant.	
28		

DECHERT LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW SILICON VALLEY In order to ensure that neither party waives any privilege through the inadvertent production of privileged materials or information, and pursuant to Rule 502 of the Federal Rules of Evidence, Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant FuzzySharp Technologies Incorporated, and Defendant and Counterclaimant 3DLabs Inc., Ltd., by and through their respective counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:

- 1. Inadvertent production or disclosure of documents or information subject to the attorney-client privilege, work product immunity, or any other applicable privilege shall not constitute a waiver of, nor a prejudice to, any claim that such or related material is privileged or protected by the work product immunity or any other applicable privilege, provided that the producing party notifies the receiving party in writing promptly after discovery of such inadvertent production.
- 2. Such inadvertently produced documents or information, including all copies thereof, shall be returned to the producing party or destroyed immediately upon request.
- 3. No use shall be made of such documents or information during deposition or at trial, nor shall such documents or information be shown to anyone who has not already been given access to them subsequent to the request that they be returned.
- 4. In the case of an inadvertently produced document, the producing party shall then provide a privilege log identifying such inadvertently produced document.
- 5. The receiving party may move the Court for an Order compelling production of any inadvertently produced document or information, but the motion shall not assert as a ground for production the fact or circumstances of the inadvertent productions or raise any other issue concerning such inadvertent production that is inconsistent with Rule 502 of the Federal Rules of Evidence, nor shall the motion disclose or otherwise use the content of the inadvertently produced

///

## Case-4::07-cv-05948-SBA Document 66 Filed 08/13/09 Page 33:of 144

document or information (beyond any information appearing on the above-referenced privilege log) in any way in connection with any such motion. IT IS SO STIPULATED: By: <u>/s/ David Fink</u> David Fink Dated: August 13, 2009 Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant FuzzySharp Technologies Incorporated By: <u>/s/ Jonathan D. Baker</u> Jonathan D. Baker Dated: August 13, 2009 Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant 3DLabs Inc., Ltd. STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING 

1	ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO GENERAL ORDER 45	
2	I, Jonathan D. Baker, attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been	
3	obtained from each of the other signatories. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of	
4	the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on August 13, 2009	
5	at Mountain View, California.	
6	By: <u>/s/ Jonathan D. Baker</u> Jonathan D. Baker	
7	Jonathan D. Baker	
8		
9	PURSUANT TO THIS STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
10		
11	Dated: August 17, 2009	
12	<b>The Monorable James Larson</b> United States <del>District</del> Magistrate Judge	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		